

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | EVPORT | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------|--|
| | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
| 10/617,017 07/11/2003 | 07/11/2003 | James F. Price | 008608-024 | 3074 | |
| • | 590 05/24/2004 ANE SWECKED 8- NA | THE | EXAMINER | | |
| P. O. Box 1404 | BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P. P. O. Box 1404 | | | EICKHOLT, EUGENE H | |
| Alexandria, VA | A 22313-1404 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| a' | * . | | 2854 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED, OF PAROLA | 0.0 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| · | Application No. | Applicant(s) | -1 1 | |
|---|---|--|--------------|--|
| Office Action Summary | 10/617,017 | PRICE ET AL. | PRICE ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | * | |
| Th MAILING DATE of this communication | Eugene H Eickholt | 2854 | KU | |
| Th MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply | ppears on the cover sheet wi | th the correspondence addre | ess | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | .136(a). In no event, however, may a re ply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON | eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this comm | nunication. | |
| Status | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 /</u> | May 2004 | | | |
| | s action is non-final. | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa | ance except for formal matte | re prococution as to the | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under | Ex parte Quavle 1935 CD | 15, prosecution as to the me | ents is | |
| Disposition of Claims | | 11, 403 O.G. 213. | 100 | |
| | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application | l. | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | wn from consideration. | | | |
| 5) 🖄 Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are allowed. | | • | • | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22 and 24</u> is/are rejected. | | .• • | | |
| 7) Claim(s) <u>23 and 25</u> is/are objected to. | | | • • | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | -00 | | |
| Application Papers | • | • | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | | • | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 May 2004</u> is/are: a) | il. | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | □ accepted or b)□ objecte | ed to by the Examiner. | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | urawing(s) be held in abeyance | e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | ion is required if the drawing(s) | is objected to. See 37 CFR 1. | 121(d). | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | animer. Note the attached C | Office Action or form PTO-1 | 52. | |
| riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | 19(a)-(d) or (f). | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | have been received | | | |
| 2. Copies of the priority documents | have been received in App | lication No | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori | ty documents have been re- | nication No | | |
| application from the International Bureau | (PCT Rule 17 2(a)) | ceived in this National Stage | е | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | of the certified copies not rec | epived . | | |
| | The second depicts not tell | cived. | | |
| | or excs - more effective | e. A manufacture per contract of the second decoration of | | |
| achment(s) | | , | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summ | mary (PTO-413) | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/M | ail Date. | | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5, [] | nal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/617,017

Art Unit: 2854

The drawings filed 5-3-04 stand entered and approved.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Navi.

The engraved surface roller 10 of col. 1, line 15 and col. 2, lines 20-23 reads on the applicator roller. The rubber form friction dry roller of col. 1, line 17 reads on the claimed form roller. Lines 16-17 states that contact for ink transfer is present between the surface roller and the form roller. A baffle 18 having a top 20 is disclosed at col. 40 lines 54 and 60-62. The reverse angle doctor blade 16 defining the front wall of ink reservoir 14 reads on the wiper blade and alignment angle. See col. 2, lines 20-23 and figure 1.

The top 20 of the baffle is above the blade 16 and its line of contact with the applicator roll 10. The rotation of the roller 10 generates a circular flow of ink within the reservoir in parallel with the cylinder 10's rotation. See col. 2, lines 65-69. This reads on maintaining a volume of ink against the applicator roller and on claim 24. Figure 1 shows the downward rotation direction.

Claims 23 and 25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,017

Art Unit: 2854

Page 3

Claims 23 and 25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 1-21 stand allowed.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. ***.

A shortened statutory period of 3 months is set to respond.

Eickholt/ds

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Any inquiry concerning the specifics of this communication should be directed to Examiner Eickholt, who can be reached Tuesday through Thursday. Inquiries of a general nature should be directed to the TC2800 receptionist.

Contact numbers:

Exr. Eugene H. Eickholt

571-2722160 571-2722168

SPE Andrew Hirshfeld

TC 2800 Fax

703-8729306